

# Theorem (CRT)

Let  $x$  satisfies a sequence of congruences

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \\ \dots \\ x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m_1 | x - a_1 \\ \dots \end{array} \right.$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$   
and  $\gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$  if  $i \neq j$

Then  $x$  has a single (unique) solution  
modulo  $M = \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$ , which is given

by the formula:

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i \pmod{M}, \text{ where}$$

$$M_i = M / m_i, \quad N_i \text{ is such that:}$$

$$N_i \cdot M_i = 1 \pmod{m_i}$$

$$N_i = M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$$

$$m_i | M | x - \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i$$

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i \pmod{m_i} =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \underbrace{a_j M_j N_j}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{m_i}} + a_i M_i N_i +$$

$$\uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow$$

$$j \neq i \quad m_i | M_j$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i+1}^k \underbrace{a_j M_j N_j}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{m_i}} \pmod{m_i} =$$

$$= a_i \underbrace{M_i N_i}_{=1} \pmod{m_i} \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

So for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$   $p = 3 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow p-1 = 4k+2 = 4k+2 = 2 \cdot (2k+1)$

$$a^{-1} \cdot r^2 = a^{-1} \left( a^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right)^2 = a^p = a^{p-1} \pmod{p} = 1 \text{ because } a \text{ is QR mod } p$$

so indeed  $\text{ord}(a^{-1} r^2) \leq 2^{t-1} = 2^{1-1} = 1$   $\uparrow$   
 $\frac{2 \cdot (2k+1)}{5}$   
 $\neq 1$

Let us consider the general case:

So in the general case  $r$  can be treated as an initial good approximation of the square root. In fact it is a perfect square root from  $a$  in the group  $\langle g_1 \rangle$

In  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  we need to adjust  $r$  to make the relation

$$a^{-1} r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

satisfied.

To do so we need a generator of the group  $\langle g_2 \rangle$ , because in  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  no adjustment of  $r$  is needed (yes, we need to adjust  $r$  in  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  only, in separation to its value in  $\langle g_1 \rangle$ ).

Let  $n$  be any quadratic non-residue mod  $p$

Let us choose and fix one.

Set

$$b := n^S \pmod{p}$$

See that  $b$  is a generator of a subgroup  $\langle g_2 \rangle$ :

1)  $n \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ , so  $n = g_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot g_2^{\alpha_2} \pmod{p}$   
for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$

2)  $b \in \langle g_2 \rangle$  because:

$$n^S = \underbrace{g_1^{\alpha_1 S}}_{=1} \cdot g_2^{\alpha_2 S} = g_2^{\alpha_2 S} \pmod{p}$$

because  $\text{ord } g_1 = S$

3)  $\text{ord } b = 2^t$  because:  $\text{ord } b \mid \text{ord } g_2 = 2^t$  and

$$b^{2^{t-1}} \pmod{p} = (n^S)^{2^{t-1}} = n^{2^{t-1} S} = n^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -1 \pmod{p}$$

$\uparrow$   
 $n$  is QN mod  $p$   
 $\neq 1 \pmod{p}$

So ord  $b = 2^t$ , i.e.:  $\langle b \rangle = \langle g^2 \rangle$

So we need to find a correct exponent  $j$  such that

$$a^{-1} \cdot (b^j \cdot r)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

then obviously  $b^j \cdot r \pmod{p}$  will be a square root of  $a$  modulo  $p$ .

Of ~~course~~ course  $j < \text{ord } b = 2^t$

$$\text{otherwise } j = j' + 2^t \cdot q$$

for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$   
and  $j' < 2^t$

$$\begin{aligned} b^j &= b^{j' + 2^t \cdot q} = b^{j'} \cdot (b^{2^t})^q = \\ &= b^{j'} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

$$j \equiv j' \pmod{2^t}$$

in  
ord  $b$

Note that ~~(\*)~~ is satisfied

$$b^{2^{t-1}} \pmod{p} = -1 \pmod{p}$$

so is sufficient to determine

$$j = \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} 2^k \cdot j_k \quad \text{for } j_k \in \{0, 1\}$$

Extending  $j$  to  $2^{t-1}$  would result in multiplying by  $b^{2^{t-1}} \pmod{p}$ , that is in changing the sign of the square root.

Let us start with establishing  $j_0$ :

$$\text{Substitute } (3^*) \quad (a^{-1} r^2)^{2^{t-2}} \pmod{p}$$

Since the square of the value above equals 1, we see that the value itself is in  $\{1, -1\}$ .

So if (3\*) equals  $-1$  then we set  $j_0=1$   
 else we set  $j_0=0$

As a result we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (a^{-1} (b^{j_0} r)^2)^{2^{t-2}} &= (b^{j_0})^{2^{t-1}} \cdot (a^{-1} \cdot r^2)^{2^{t-2}} \pmod{p} \\ &= (b^{2^{t-1}})^{j_0} (a^{-1} \cdot r^2)^{2^{t-2}} = (-1)^{j_0} (a^{-1} r^2)^{2^{t-2}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot 1 \\ &\equiv (-1) \cdot (-1) \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that  $j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{\delta-1}$  has been determined, for consecutive  $\delta=1, 2, \dots, t-2$   
 Consequently, for each such  $\delta$  in the sequence the condition:

$$(a^{-1} (b^{\sum_{k=0}^{\delta-1} 2^k j_k} \cdot r)^2)^{2^{t-\delta-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

is satisfied so substitute:

$$(a^{-1} \left( (b^{\sum_{k=0}^{\delta-1} 2^k j_k} \cdot r)^2 \right)^{2^{t-\delta-2}} \pmod{p}$$

and since the square of the value above is  $1$ , the value itself belongs to  $\{-1, 1\}$ . Thus if it equals  $-1$  we set  $j_\delta=1$ , else we set  $j_\delta=0$ .

As a result:

$$\begin{aligned} (a^{-1} \cdot (b^{\sum_{k=0}^{\delta} 2^k j_k} \cdot r)^2)^{2^{t-\delta-2}} &\equiv \\ (b^{2^\delta j_\delta})^{2^{t-\delta-1}} \cdot (a^{-1} (b^{\sum_{k=0}^{\delta-1} 2^k j_k} \cdot r)^2)^{2^{t-\delta-2}} &= \\ \underbrace{(b^{2^{t-1}})^{j_\delta}}_{= (-1)^{j_\delta}} \cdot (a^{-1} (b^{\sum_{k=0}^{\delta-1} 2^k j_k} \cdot r)^2)^{2^{t-\delta-2}} &= \\ \left. \begin{aligned} &= (-1) \cdot (-1) \\ &= 1 \cdot 1 \end{aligned} \right\} &= 1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

See that that the computations above can be accelerated:

- exponentiation to power  $2^{t-r-2}$  is achieved by repeated squaring

- so during that exponentiation we can actually stop on the power  $2^i$  yielding the result  $-1$ .

It may happen that  $i = t - r - 2$ , but sometimes it happens that  $i < t - r - 2$

set  $j' := t - i - 2$  and set the bits

$\bar{j}_r, \dots, \bar{j}_{r-1}$  to 0 and  $\bar{j}_{j'}$  to 1.

Projective coordinates:

in affine equation:

$$(1) \quad y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

substitute  $x = \frac{X}{Z}$  ,  $y = \frac{Y}{Z}$  :

$$\left(\frac{Y}{Z}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{X}{Z}\right)^3 + a\left(\frac{X}{Z}\right) + b \quad / \cdot Z^3$$

$$(2) \quad Y^2 Z = X^3 + aXZ^2 + bZ^3$$

The equation (2) is homogeneous: the cumulative exponent of each component on each side is the same (=3 in our case)

Formula (2) is called projective equation of the curve  $E_{a,b}(K)$ .