

# A GENERALIZATION OF STEINHAUS THEOREM AND SOME NONMEASURABLE SETS

ROBERT RAŁOWSKI AND PRZEMYSŁAW SZCZEPANIAK AND SZYMON  
ŻEBERSKI

ABSTRACT. We generalize a classical Steinhaus theorem replacing addition by any two variable function which is differentiable. We deal with category and measure case. Measure case was done before in papers [3], [9]. We give a proof which can be easily generalized to category case.

We also obtain a variety of examples of nonmeasurable sets which have interesting algebraic properties.

## 1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

We use the standard set-theoretic notation. The set of all natural numbers we denote by  $\omega$ . The interior of a set  $A$  we denote by  $int(A)$ . The family of all Borel subsets of the real line we denote by  $Bor$ . The  $\sigma$ -ideals of null and meagre subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $\mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  respectively. For any binary operation  $\circ$  and sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $A \circ B$  the algebraic operation i.e.  $\{a \circ b : a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ . Moreover,  $A \circ b = A \circ \{b\}$  for a real  $b$ .

Let  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{L}$  or  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{K}$ . We say that a set  $A$  is completely  $\mathbb{I}$  nonmeasurable set if

$$(\forall B \in Bor \setminus \mathbb{I})(A \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge A^c \cap B \neq \emptyset).$$

## 2. GENERALIZATION OF STEINHAUS THEOREM

Let us recall the famous Steinhaus theorem: *for any two sets  $A, B$  of positive Lebesgue measure the interior of the algebraic sum  $A + B$  is nonempty.*

In [3] and [9] there are generalization of Steinhaus theorem to first class function with non-vanishing first derivatives. Further generalization in the case of measure was given by [7, 8]. Moreover the Steinhaus theorem but in the category case was given in [10]: *if  $A, B \subset V$  are two Baire measurable second category subsets of the topological vector space then both  $A + B$  and  $A - B$  contain some open set.*

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E35, 03E75; Secondary 28A99.

*Key words and phrases.* nonmeasurable set, real line, null set, meagre set.

Authors would like to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions and comments.

From the other hand, the ideal  $\mathbb{L} \cap \mathbb{K}$  doesn't have Steinhaus property what was given in [11].

We will prove a generalization of Steinhaus theorem to first class function with non-vanishing first derivatives. The measure case was proved in [3] and [9]. We give a proof which is similar in both cases: measure and category.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{L}$  or  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{K}$ . Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$  function such that*

$$\left\{ (x, y) : \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) = 0 \vee \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) = 0 \right\} \in \mathbb{I}.$$

*Let  $A, B$  be positive subsets of real line, i.e.  $A, B \in \text{Bor}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathbb{I}$ . Then the set*

$$f(A, B) = \{f(a, b) : a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

*contains an interval.*

Before we start the proof of Theorem 2.1, we state two claims - one for measure and the second for category.

**Claim 2.1** (measure case). *Let  $A, B \in \text{Bor} \setminus \mathbb{L}$ . Let  $a_0$  be a point of density 1 in  $A$  and  $b_0$  be a point of density 1 in  $B$  and  $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^1$  function such that*

$$g(a_0, h_0) = b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(a_0, h_0) \neq 0.$$

*Then there exists an interval  $I$  such that  $h_0 \in I$  and*

$$(\forall h \in I)(g(A, h) \cap B \notin \mathbb{L}),$$

*where  $g(A, h) = \{g(a, h) : a \in A\}$ .*

*Proof.* For convenience we will write  $g_h(a)$  instead of  $g(a, h)$ . By continuity of partial derivatives of  $g$  around  $(a_0, h_0)$  there exists positive reals  $\alpha, \beta, \delta$  and an interval  $I$  which contains  $a_0$  such that

$$(\forall x, x' \in I)(\forall h)(|h - h_0| < \delta \longrightarrow \alpha|x - x'| \leq |g_h(x) - g_h(x')| \leq \beta|x - x'|).$$

Let us fix  $h$  such that  $|h - h_0| < \delta$ . There exist intervals  $I_h \subseteq I, J_h$  such that if  $\tilde{A} = A \cap J_h$  and  $\tilde{B} = B \cap J_h$ , then:

- (1)  $a_0 \in I_h, b_0 \in J_h$ ,
- (2)  $g_h(I_h) \subseteq J_h$ ,
- (3)  $\lambda(g_h(I_h) \cap J_h) \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(J_h)$ ,
- (4)  $\lambda(\tilde{B}) - \lambda(J_h) < \frac{1}{2}\alpha\lambda(\tilde{A})$  (by density argument).

Let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(g_h(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{B}) &= \lambda(g_h(\tilde{A})) + \lambda(\tilde{B}) - \lambda(g_h(\tilde{A}) \cup \tilde{B}) \\ &\geq \alpha\lambda(\tilde{A}) + \lambda(\tilde{B}) - \lambda(g_h(I_h) \cup J_h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha\lambda(\tilde{A}) + \lambda(\tilde{B}) - \lambda(J_h) \\
&\geq \alpha\lambda(\tilde{A}) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\lambda(\tilde{A}) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\lambda(\tilde{A}) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim 2.2** (category case). *Let  $A, B \in \text{Bor} \setminus \mathbb{K}$ . Let  $a_0 \in A$ ,  $b_0 \in B$  and  $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $A$  is comeager in some open interval containing  $a_0$  and  $B$  is comeager in some open interval containing  $b_0$ . Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^1$  function such that*

$$g(a_0, h_0) = b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(a_0, h_0) \neq 0.$$

*Then there exists an interval  $I$  such that  $h_0 \in I$  and*

$$(\forall h \in I)(g(A, h) \cap B \notin \mathbb{K}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $I_{a_0}, I_{b_0}$  be open intervals containing  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  respectively such that  $A, B$  is comeagre in  $I_{a_0}, I_{b_0}$  respectively. Then there exists nonempty open interval  $I$  such that  $g_h(I_{a_0}) \cap I_{b_0} \neq \emptyset$  for any  $h \in I$ . Thus  $g_h(A) \cap B \notin \mathbb{K}$  for any  $h \in I$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* We consider the measure case only. The category case is similar. From our assumption we see that there exists a point  $(a, b)$  such that the partial derivatives are non-zero in some its neighborhood and  $h_0 = f(a, b)$ . Moreover, we can choose  $(a, b)$  such that  $a, b$  are density points of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively by Fubini theorem.

By implicite function theorem there exists an open interval  $I$  containing  $h_0$  and family  $C^1$  functions  $\{g_h : h \in I\}$  such that  $f(x, g_h(x)) = h$  where  $x$  belongs to some interval containing  $a$ . The assumptions of Claim 2.1 is fulfilled. Than there exists an interval  $I$  such that

$$(\forall h \in I)(g_h(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset),$$

which gives the required assertion. □

### 3. NONMEASURABLE SETS

In this section, we say that a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is completely nonmeasurable iff  $A$  is completely  $\mathbb{L}$ -nonmeasurable and  $A$  is completely  $\mathbb{K}$ -nonmeasurable. We are interested in examples of completely nonmeasurable sets with some algebraic properties. This topic was investigated in papers [4, 5, 6] Let us recall the following result from [1].

**Theorem 3.1** (Cichoń, Szczepaniak). *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  treated as linear spaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be bounded and  $\text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\Phi[K]$  is completely nonmeasurable.*

We get immediate corollary (see [1]).

**Corollary 3.1** (Cichoń, Szczepaniak). *Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear isomorphism over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be such that  $\text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{int}(K^c) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\Phi[K]$  is completely nonmeasurable.*

We use the above corollary to obtain a few examples of completely nonmeasurable sets.

**Theorem 3.2.** *There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + A = A$  and  $A - A = \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $K = \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Here

$$K + K = K, \text{ int}(K) \neq \emptyset, \text{ int}(K^c) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear isomorphism over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Put  $A = \Phi[K]$ . By Corollary 3.1  $A$  is completely nonmeasurable and since  $\Phi$  preserves addition  $A + A = A$ . Moreover  $K - K = \mathbb{R}^2$  so  $\Phi$  preserves addition we get  $A - A = \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *There exists a partition  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of the real line into countably many completely nonmeasurable sets such that  $A_n + A_n = A_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be any strictly increasing sequence of reals such that

- (1)  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 2\pi$ ,
- (3)  $(\forall n \in \omega)(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n < \pi)$ .

Consider the following family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$K_0 = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(r \cos \alpha, r \sin \alpha) : r > 0 \wedge \alpha_0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_1\},$$

$$K_n = \{(r \cos \alpha, r \sin \alpha) : r > 0 \wedge \alpha_n \leq \alpha < \alpha_{n+1}\}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Here for every  $n \in \omega$

$$K_n + K_n = K_n, \text{ int}(K_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

What is more  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear isomorphism over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $n$  put  $A_n = \Phi[K_n]$ . By Corollary 3.1  $A_n$  is completely nonmeasurable and since  $\Phi$  preserves addition  $A_n + A_n = A_n$ . Moreover  $\Phi$  is a bijection, so  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $N$  be a positive natural number. There exists a partition  $\{A_n\}_{n \in N}$  of the real line into completely nonmeasurable sets such that  $A_n + A_n = A_n$  for every  $n \in N$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a strictly increasing sequence of reals  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$  satisfying

- (1)  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha_N = 2\pi$ ,
- (3)  $(\forall n \in N)(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n < \pi)$ .

The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *There exist countable partition  $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  into countable completely many nonmeasurable sets such that*

$$\forall m, n \in \omega \quad n \neq m \rightarrow A_m + A_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be any strictly increasing sequence of reals such that

- (1)  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \pi$ .

Consider the following family of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$K_n = \{(r \cos \alpha, r \sin \alpha) : r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \wedge \alpha \in [\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \cup [\alpha_n + \pi, \alpha_{n+1} + \pi)\},$$

for any  $n \in \omega$ .

Here for every  $m, n \in \omega$ ,  $n \neq m$

$$K_m + K_n = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \wedge \text{int}(K_n) \neq \emptyset.$$

What is more  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear isomorphism over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each  $n$  put  $A_n = \Phi[K_n]$ . By Corollary 3.1  $A_n$  is completely nonmeasurable and since  $\Phi$  preserves addition  $A_m + A_n = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  for any  $m, n \in \omega$ ,  $n \neq m$ . Moreover  $\Phi$  is a bijection, so  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $N$  be a positive natural number. There exists a partition  $\{A_n\}_{n \in N}$  of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  into completely nonmeasurable sets such that  $A_n + A_m = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  for every  $n, m \in N$ ,  $n \neq m$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a strictly increasing sequence of reals  $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$  satisfying

- (1)  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\alpha_N = \pi$ .

The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that each of the sets*

$$A, A + A, A + A + A, A + A + A + A, \dots$$

*is completely nonmeasurable and  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_n = \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $K = \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Here for  $n \geq 1$

$$\underbrace{K + K + \dots + K}_n = \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, n].$$

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear isomorphism over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Put  $A = \Phi[K]$ . By Corollary 3.1  $A$  is completely nonmeasurable and since  $\Phi$  preserves addition  $\underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_n$  is also completely nonmeasurable. The fact

that  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{K + K + \dots + K}_n = \mathbb{R}^2$  implies  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_n = \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $N$  be a positive natural number. There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that each of the sets*

$$A, A + A, A + A + A, A + A + A + A, \dots, \underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_N$$

*is completely nonmeasurable and  $\underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_{N+1} = \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a set  $K = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [(N+1)k, (N+1)k+1) \times \mathbb{R}$ . As before we put  $A = \Phi[K]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that each of the sets*

$$A \subsetneq A + A \subsetneq A + A + A \subsetneq A + A + A + A \subsetneq \dots$$

*is completely nonmeasurable and  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_n$  is completely nonmeasurable.*

*Proof.* It is enough to consider the set  $K = [0, 1) \times [0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $N$  be a positive natural number. There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that each of the sets*

$$A \subsetneq A + A \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_N = \underbrace{A + A + \dots + A}_{N+1}$$

*is completely nonmeasurable.*

*Proof.* Consider a set  $K = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [(N+1)k, (N+1)k+1) \times [0, \infty)$ . As before we put  $A = \Phi[K]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that each of the sets*

$$A \supsetneq A + A \supsetneq A + A + A \supsetneq A + A + A + A \supsetneq \dots$$

*is completely nonmeasurable.*

*Proof.* Consider a set  $K = [1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . As before we put  $A = \Phi[K]$ .  $\square$

We can obtain similar results for multiplication as we have obtained for addition. Let us consider a function  $\varphi(x) = e^x$ . Having completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  we get a set  $\varphi[A]$  which is completely nonmeasurable in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Now, it is enough to put  $B = \varphi[A] \cup -\varphi[A]$ . All properties of a set  $A$  with respect to addition remains true for a set  $B$  with respect to multiplication.

So, we get a series of corollaries. Let us quote two examples.

**Corollary 3.2** (of Theorem 3.2). *There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A \cdot A = A$ .*

**Corollary 3.3** (of Theorem 3.9). *There exists completely nonmeasurable set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that each of the sets*

$$A \subsetneq A \cdot A \subsetneq A \cdot A \cdot A \subsetneq A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A \subsetneq \dots$$

*is completely nonmeasurable and  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdot \dots \cdot A}_n$  is completely nonmeasurable.*

#### 4. QUESTIONS

Let us start with the following question.

**Question 4.1.** *Is it possible to replace addition in Theorem 3.1 by any differentiable function with non-vanishing derivatives?*

We hope that Theorem 2.1 can help in proving the above proposition since the proof of Theorem 3.1 strongly uses the classical Steinhaus theorem.

From the other hand, we are interested in the following problem.

**Question 4.2.** *Is it possible to obtain the analogues of examples given in Theorems (Corollaries) from previous section replacing addition (multiplication) by any differentiable function with non-vanishing derivatives?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Cichoń, P. Szczepaniak, *When is the unit ball nonmeasurable?*, preliminary accepted to Fund. Math.
- [2] H. Steinhaus, *Sur les distances des points dans les ensembles de mesure positive*, Fund. Math. **1**, (1920), pp. 99-104.
- [3] P. Erdős, J. Oxtoby, *Partitions of the plane into sets having positive measure in every non-null measurable product set*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1955), pp. 91-102.
- [4] M. Kysiak, *Nonmeasurable algebraic sums of sets of reals*, Colloq. Math. **102** (2005), no 1, pp 113-122.
- [5] A. Kharazishvili, *Some remarks on additive properties of invariant  $\sigma$ -ideals on the real line*, Real. Anal. Exchange, **21**, (1995/96), no 2, pp. 715-724.
- [6] B. King, *Some remarks on difference of Bernstein sets*, Real. Anal. Exchange, **19** (1993/94), no 2, pp. 478-490.
- [7] M. Kuczma, *A generalization of Steinhaus Theorem to Coordinatewise measure preserving binary transformations*, Colloq. Math. **36** (1976), no 2, pp. 241-248.
- [8] M. Kuczma, *Differentiation of Implicit Functions and Steinhaus Theorem in Topological Measure Spaces*, Colloq. Math. **39** (1978), no 1, pp. 95-107.
- [9] M. Kuczma, M. Kuczma, *An elementary proof and an extension of a theorem of Steinhaus*, Glasnik Matematički **6** (26) (1971), pp. 11-18.
- [10] Z. Kominek, *On the sum of difference of two sets in topological vector spaces*, Fund. Math. **71** (1971), no 2, pp. 165-169.
- [11] Z. Kominek, *Measure, category and the sums of sets*, The Amer. Math. Month., **90** (1983), no 8, pp. 561-562.

*E-mail address*, Robert Rałowski: robert.ralowski@pwr.wroc.pl

*E-mail address*, Przemysław Szczepaniak: przeszcz@gmail.com

*E-mail address*, Szymon Żeberski: szymon.zeberskiebe@pwr.wroc.pl

(Robert Rałowski and Szymon Żeberski) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND  
COMPUTER SCIENCE, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃ-  
SKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND.

(Przemysław Szczepaniak) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCI-  
ENCE, OPOLE UNIVERSITY, OLESKA 48, 45-052 OPOLE, POLAND.